

One matter. Every tool it needs.

PleadSmart holds research, case law, judgments, drafting, case status and contracts in one continuous thread — across litigation, IPR, taxation, corporate and advisory work.

CONTENTS

What is in this document

Sections stand on their own. Read the first half for the argument and the product; start at Trust if you are evaluating us on security, confidentiality or procurement.

THE ARGUMENT

The work is one matter. The tools are not.	03
One continuous thread	04

THE WORKSPACE

The module map	05
Research, Case Law, Judgments	06
DocBare — drafting and review	07
Case Status	08
Contracts	09

IN PRACTICE

Four matters, traced	10
Four matters, traced	11
How this differs	12

TRUST

Verification and grounding	13
Confidentiality and privilege	14
Security and procurement	15

WORKING WITH US

Built with you	16
Engagement and pricing	17
Contact	18

A NOTE ON WHAT IS CLAIMED HERE


Every capability described in this document is in production today, except where a section is explicitly headed **In design**. Nothing on the roadmap is presented as available. Where we cannot publish a detail — infrastructure, processing arrangements, sub-processors — we say so rather than answer vaguely.

THE ARGUMENT / 01

The work is one matter. The tools are not.

Researching a limitation point, pulling the judgments that carry it, drafting the reply and checking when it is next listed is one piece of work. Today it takes four products and a clipboard.

Most legal AI has not fixed this. It has added a fifth window. You research in one conversation, then open a new one to draft — and everything you established in the first is gone. The facts get retyped. The citation gets retyped. The context that made the research useful does not survive the handoff, so the lawyer becomes the integration layer between their own tools.



The cost is not the minutes spent switching. It is that the second tool never knows what the first one found.


WHAT THIS DOCUMENT ARGUES

That continuity — not any single feature — is what makes legal AI worth the change in habit. Everything that follows is built around keeping one matter open from the first question to the filed draft.

THE ARGUMENT / 02

PleadSmart keeps the matter open

One thread, six modules. What you established at the first question is still there at the last draft, because you never left the matter to get to the next tool.



Context carries

The facts you set out once do not need restating in the drafting step. The matter is the unit of work, not the conversation.

Tools, not chatbots

Each module is built for one discipline and says plainly what it does not do — so you always know which tool you are in.

Every practice area

Litigation is a mode of work, not a practice area. A trademark opposition, an ITAT appeal and a s.138 complaint all sit inside it.

Built for Indian practice


Indian statutes, Indian drafting convention, Indian courts and Indian cause lists — not a global product with an India setting.

You should not have to open a new chat to draft what you just researched.

THE WORKSPACE

Six modules, three movements

Three tools for knowing, one for doing, one for tracking — and Contracts running alongside for transactional work. The grouping is the product: each module hands its output to the next without leaving the matter.



Contracts

Contract lifecycle management — a parallel lane for transactional work

ENTERPRISE

KNOW

Establish the position and the authority for it. Three separate disciplines, three separate tools.

DO

Turn what you know into a document that can be filed, sent or signed.

TRACK

Keep the matter current — listings, orders and dates, drawn from eCourts.

KNOW

Research, Case Law, Judgments

Three tools rather than one, because establishing what the statute says, finding who has said it before, and reading the judgment itself are three different disciplines with three different failure modes.

Research

STATUTE AND CONVENTION

Answers grounded in Indian statutory law, procedure and drafting convention. Ask what the limitation position is, what a provision requires, how a particular application is conventionally framed — and get an answer written for a practitioner rather than a summary written for a layperson.

WHAT IT DOES NOT DO It does not cite judgments. Precedent is a separate discipline with a separate tool, so Research never reaches for a case to support a proposition.

Case Law

PRECEDENT SEARCH

Precedent search across a corpus of 18 million-plus judgments from the Supreme Court and all 25 High Courts. Search on the facts of your matter, not on the keywords someone happened to put in a headnote — results are ranked on how closely the fact pattern and the issue match what you are actually arguing.

WHAT IT DOES NOT DO It does not decide whether a case is good law for your purpose. It surfaces and ranks; the judgment on authority stays with you.

Judgments

FULL TEXT, READ IN PLACE

Open the full text of a judgment inside the workspace instead of leaving for a portal or a PDF viewer. Read it, mark what matters, carry the passage into a draft.

WHAT IT DOES NOT DO It does not summarise the judgment for you, and the text you open here is never injected into an AI context. A judgment you open here is a document you read — reading stays your work.

18M+

judgments in the searchable corpus — the Supreme Court and all 25 High Courts. Statutes and drafting formats sit alongside it; live case status is drawn from eCourts.

DO

DocBare

Drafting and clause-by-clause review, on one canvas

Draft a plaint, a reply, a notice or an agreement with the research still open beside it — and run the same canvas the other way to review a document clause by clause against what it should say.

DOCBARE CANVAS

REPLY · DRAFT 3

3. That the cheque bearing no. [TO BE VERIFIED FROM RECORD] was presented for encashment on [TO BE VERIFIED FROM RECORD] and returned unpaid with the endorsement “funds insufficient”.

Convention — statutory notice under the proviso is conventionally pleaded before the cause of action paragraph. Dismiss

TRUST

It marks what it cannot support

Where the record does not establish a fact, DocBare writes [TO BE VERIFIED FROM RECORD] instead of filling the gap with something plausible. This is a deliberate product decision, not a fallback.

CONTROL

Convention warnings you can dismiss

Where a draft departs from Indian drafting convention, DocBare says so inline. Each warning is dismissed individually — it advises, it does not block.

OUTPUT

Export that matches your registry

Exports to .docx with formatting presets saved per user. Enterprise adds firm-wide templates, created by admins, alongside built-in court presets.

BOTH WAYS

Review as well as draft

Point the same canvas at an incoming document — a contract, a reply, a notice — and work through it clause by clause against the position you want.

A drafting tool that invents a date to finish a sentence is worse than no drafting tool. DocBare leaves the gap visible.

TRACK

Case Status

The matter stays current without anyone checking a portal

Case status is drawn from eCourts and held against the matter you are already working in — so the next date sits beside the draft it affects, rather than in a diary somebody has to remember to update.

TRACKED MATTERS	
Commercial suit — Delhi High Court	NEXT LISTED
ITAT appeal — Mumbai bench	ORDER UPLOADED
s.138 complaint — Magistrate, Faridabad	ADJOURNED

Listings and dates

When a matter is next listed, and what moved it there.

Orders as they appear

Orders and cause-list movement surfaced against the matter.

In the same thread

Status sits with the research and the drafts, not in a separate tracker.

WHAT IT DOES NOT DO

Case Status reports what the court record shows. It does not predict listings, it does not tell you an adjournment is likely, and it does not replace the confirmation a clerk gives you. Where the court record is silent or stale, PleadSmart shows it as silent or stale rather than filling it in.

A PARALLEL LANE

Contracts

ENTERPRISE

Contract lifecycle management inside the same workspace — repository, extraction, obligations and amendment drafting, with the drafting canvas you already use.



EVERY VERSION IMMUTABLE · EVERY CHANGE VISIBLE

- A repository organised in folders
- Automatic extraction from executed documents
- Renewal reminders before the window closes
- Obligation tracking against each agreement
- Immutable versioning, original never overwritten
- Change visibility between any two versions
- Amendment drafting that opens the original as a starting point and saves as a new version
- A clause library the firm builds and reuses
- Document templates shared across the organisation
- Task assignment against a contract
- Folder-level access control
- Audit logs

WHY IT EXISTS

Contracts was built because a Mumbai transactional firm needed contract lifecycle management and did not want it in a separate product from where their drafting happened. It was scoped with them and shipped. That is the shape most of our enterprise work takes — see **Built with you** on page 16.

Not built: external counsel and other third-party access to Contracts. It is in design; it is not available today.

IN PRACTICE

Four matters, traced

The same six modules, four different kinds of work. Nothing below leaves the workspace, and nothing is retyped between steps.

A s.138 complaint

NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENTS ACT · MAGISTRATE

RESEARCH → CASE LAW → **DOCBARE** → CASE STATUS

Establish the statutory notice position and the limitation window in Research. Pull the precedent on what the endorsement must show in Case Law. Draft the complaint in DocBare, where the cheque particulars you have not yet put on record stay marked rather than invented. Track the listing from eCourts.

A trademark opposition

TRADE MARKS ACT · REGISTRY, THEN HIGH COURT

RESEARCH → CASE LAW → JUDGMENTS → **DOCBARE**

Work out the grounds and the procedural position in Research. Find deceptive-similarity precedent on facts close to yours in Case Law, then open the two judgments that actually matter and read them in full. Draft the notice of opposition with the research still beside you.

THE POINT

Litigation is a mode of work, not a practice area. A trademark opposition, a tax appeal and a cheque-bouncing complaint are different bodies of law arriving through the same procedural shape — which is why one workspace serves all of them.

IN PRACTICE

Four matters, traced

continued

An ITAT appeal

INCOME TAX · APPELLATE TRIBUNAL

RESEARCH → CASE LAW → **DOCBARE** → CASE STATUS

Establish the assessment and appellate position in Research. Find tribunal and High Court authority on the same head of addition in Case Law. Draft the grounds of appeal and the statement of facts in DocBare against your registry's formatting preset. Keep the hearing date current from eCourts.

An MSA renewal

COMMERCIAL CONTRACTS · TRANSACTIONAL

CONTRACTS → **DOCBARE** → **CONTRACTS**

The renewal reminder fires from the repository before the window closes. Obligations and key dates are already extracted. The amendment opens the executed agreement in the DocBare canvas as its starting point and saves as a new version — the original is never overwritten, and the change between versions stays visible to whoever reviews it next.

LITIGATION LANE

Research, Case Law, Judgments, DocBare and Case Status, held against one matter.

TRANSACTIONAL LANE

Contracts and DocBare, held against one agreement and its full version history.

Four practice areas, one workspace — because the work has the same shape even when the law does not.

IN PRACTICE

How this differs

You are almost certainly comparing us against three things at once. They fail differently, so it is worth being specific about each.

General-purpose AI

THE ASSISTANT YOU ALREADY USE

- Confident on Indian law it has not read
- Citations that cannot be checked, or do not exist
- Every conversation starts from nothing
- Your client material leaves with no contractual position on it

PLEADSMART

Built on Indian statute and a judgment corpus, with gaps marked rather than filled, and a contractual position on your material.

Legacy research platforms

THE SUBSCRIPTION ON THE FIRM'S DESK

- Strong corpus, keyword-shaped search
- Research ends at the result; drafting is elsewhere
- Nothing carries into the document you file
- No contract or matter layer at all

PLEADSMART

Search on the facts of your matter, then draft without leaving it — and keep contracts, obligations and listings in the same place.

Global legal AI

THE INTERNATIONAL PLATFORM

- Built for other jurisdictions first
- Indian courts, registries and convention are an afterthought
- Priced for AmLaw economics
- Procurement and residency answers written for elsewhere

PLEADSMART

Indian practice is the product, not a localisation — Indian drafting convention, Indian courts, Indian cause lists.

We have not named competitors here, and we will not claim a benchmark we have not run. If you are evaluating us against a specific product, ask — we would rather answer the comparison directly than publish one that flatters us.

TRUST / 01

Verification and grounding

The reason lawyers distrust legal AI is not that it is occasionally wrong. It is that it is wrong in the register of being right. Most of the product decisions below exist to remove that register.

Grounded, not recalled

Answers are built against retrieved Indian statutory material and a judgment corpus, not against a model's recollection of Indian law.

Structured citations

Where authority is cited, it is cited in a structured form you can check against the source, not woven into prose.

Retrieval at query time

Precedent is retrieved live when you ask, rather than answered from what a model absorbed at training time.

Gaps stay gaps

[TO BE VERIFIED FROM RECORD] in a draft means the record does not establish the fact. The product would rather hand you an incomplete sentence than a plausible one.

THE SEPARATION THAT MATTERS MOST

Research does not cite judgments, and Judgments are never summarised into an AI context. These are not gaps in the product — they are the boundary that keeps a statutory answer from quietly acquiring a citation nobody checked, and keeps the judgment you are about to rely on as something you read rather than something you were told about.

WHAT WE DO NOT CLAIM

- That the output is correct. It is a first draft and a starting position, and it is signed by you, not by us.
- That verification removes the need to read the authority. It narrows what you read; it does not replace reading it.
- A benchmark score. We have not published one, so we will not quote one.

TRUST / 02

Confidentiality and privilege

Your material is privileged, and the obligation attaching to it is yours, not ours. Our job is to make it possible for you to discharge that obligation while using the product.

No training on customer content

Neither Asvara nor the AI providers behind PleadSmart train on your content. Training on customer content is switched off with our providers, and our contractual position commits to it. This is the strongest single line on this page and it is unconditional.

Judgments stay out of AI context

Judgment PDFs you open in the workspace are never injected into an AI context. The passage you rely on is one you read and carried across yourself.

Reads survive a billing problem

Organisation-lifecycle refusals apply to writes, not reads. If a firm's account is suspended over billing, the firm keeps access to its existing work product.

Privileged material is treated as privileged

How privileged material is handled is set out in the agreement, not left to a policy page that can change without notice.

A DPA, drafted and reviewed

A data processing agreement is available on request, drafted by our Chief Legal Officer and reviewed by external counsel.

WHY WE DO NOT NAME OUR AI PROVIDERS PUBLICLY

Because a published dependency chain is a standing target, and because the answer that matters to you — whether anyone trains on your content — is answered above without naming anyone. Under NDA, during vendor review, we disclose the detail: infrastructure, processing arrangements and the providers themselves.

TRUST / 03

Security and procurement

Written for the person who has to sign off on us rather than the person who has to use us.

ENCRYPTION	In transit and at rest.
ACCESS CONTROL	Role-based access, folder-level permissions, and administrator visibility of the organisation's workspace.
AUDIT LOGS	Available to organisation administrators.
SEAT LIFECYCLE	Members are added, suspended and removed by an administrator; access is enforced at sign-in and at every tool, not only in the interface.
DATA PROCESSING	A DPA is available on request. The template is not published.
DEPLOYMENT	Standard cloud deployment, with private cloud available where the scope of the engagement supports it.
PUBLIC SECTOR	Deployment options including on-premise, vendor registration and procurement documentation, and reference availability on request.

Detailed infrastructure and processing information is provided under NDA during vendor review.

That includes hosting regions, processing arrangements and sub-processors. We would rather tell you precisely, under an agreement, than approximately, on a page that anyone can read.

WHAT WE DO NOT HOLD

We hold no security certifications today, and we are not going to publish a roadmap of target dates we have not funded. If a certification is a hard requirement of your procurement process, tell us at the first conversation rather than the fifth — we would rather lose an hour than waste your quarter.

WORKING WITH US

Built with you

Contracts exists because a transactional firm needed contract lifecycle management and did not want it living in a different product from their drafting. It was scoped with them and it shipped. That is how most of our enterprise work starts.

Enterprise engagements can include development scoped to your workflow, under a defined statement of work and timeline.

You are commissioning something, and you will see the scope and the dates before you commit to it. Nothing below is available to switch on today.

CURRENTLY IN DESIGN

IN DESIGN

Organisation Vault

A firm-wide knowledge layer — your own precedents, past drafts and executed documents made searchable alongside the public corpus, with folder-level access control per user and administrator control over who can download to a local machine. Designed in full; not built.

IN DESIGN

Case Project

A knowledge graph across a single matter — parties, issues, documents and authorities related to one another and navigable rather than listed. Architecture settled; not built.

IN DESIGN

External counsel access to Contracts

Scoped, time-limited access for outside counsel and other third parties to specific folders in the contract repository. Not built.

WHY WE PUBLISH THIS AT ALL

Because you will find out in the demo, and it is better that you find out here. A vendor who tells you what is not built yet is a vendor you can believe about what is.

WORKING WITH US

Engagement and pricing

There is no list price, and this is not a page with the number removed. PleadSmart is priced per engagement, against the tools you actually need and the query volume you actually run on each of them.

Scoped to the tools you use

A litigation chamber and a transactional firm do not need the same modules. You are priced for the ones you take, not for a bundle built around someone else's practice.

Scoped to your volume

Query volume is set per tool, against how your team actually works — rather than a seat price that assumes a usage pattern and then meters you for missing it.

Organisation accounts

Administrators add and remove members, see the organisation's work, set folder permissions and hold the audit trail.

Onboarding and training

A one-time onboarding session for platform administrators, and team training for all users at subscription.

HOW AN ENGAGEMENT USUALLY RUNS

01 A demo

Run against the kind of matter you actually handle, not a scripted example.

02 A trial

Issued after the demo, to selected teams. Cancellable in one click from inside the account.

03 Scoping

Which modules, what volume per tool, how many seats, what needs building. This is where price is settled.

04 Onboarding and training

Administrators first, then the team, at subscription.

We hold a 50 per cent margin target and do not discount below it to close. What we will do is scope the engagement down until it is worth the money to you — fewer modules, lower volume, a smaller pilot — rather than sell you the full platform at a price that makes us unable to support it.

CONTACT

Start with a demo

Bring a matter you are actually working on. A demo run against a real fact pattern will tell you more in twenty minutes than this document does in eighteen pages.

GENERAL AND DEMO REQUESTS

contact@asvarainnovation.com

SUPPORT, BILLING AND PRIVACY

support@asvarainnovation.com

IN USE TODAY

A public sector undertaking and a Mumbai transactional law firm run on PleadSmart. References are available on request, once a conversation has started and with the customer's agreement.

asvara

Asvara Private Limited
asvarainnovation.com

